

Shouldinformation and the Rocky Horror Covid Vaccine Show.



“So that’s 2 egg mayonnaise, a prawn Goebbels, a Hermann Goering, and 4 Colditz salads”.

My late mother used to tell me off for discussing Brexit with guests at the family table. Similarly, a young relative was met with silence recently when talking about her new found religious beliefs. And in the 1970’s TV comedy series *Fawlty Towers*, proprietor Basil Fawlty hilariously offended German hotel guests by repeatedly uttering “*Don’t mention the War!*”.

Apparently, we can now add to that list of must not mention, potentially taboo subjects at the dinner table, the harm caused by Covid-19 vaccines.

Misinformation

This is defined as inaccurate or misleading information.

An example of misinformation is that for many months during the Covid pandemic, daily death figures published by the UK/Isle of Man Governments were presented as deaths from Covid. That definition was subtly amended many months later to deaths with Covid because of the fact that many persons who died with Covid in fact had a number of pre-existing illnesses or injuries. Therefore, reference to deaths *from* Covid was blatantly inaccurate and highly misleading.


Disinformation

This is defined as false information that is spread deliberately to deceive.

An example of disinformation is the catch phrase coined by USA President Biden in 2021 of a “*pandemic of the unvaccinated*”. This was a claim in effect that

hospitalisations and deaths were overwhelmingly in the **unvaccinated**. In fact, the official statistics showed the opposite. For instance in the Isle of Man, weekly surveillance statistics showed on average that over **70%** of patients hospitalised with Covid were double vaccinated. Some would therefore argue that the use of the phrase "*pandemic of the unvaccinated*" by political leaders was a lie deliberately used to deceive and coerce unvaccinated people to get the jab.

In my presentation ***Human Rights and Civil Wrongs*** at the Villa Marina, Douglas on 14th December 2021 I used the word *Shouldinformation*.



"The evolving lexicon of English language".

SHOULDINFORMATION

This is a new word for your vocabulary.

It can be defined as "*Information which a person or body already has and which should be revealed in the public interest but is deliberately not disclosed or prominently publicised*".

An example of shouldinformation during the Covid pandemic was the *Patient Information Letter* sent to persons invited to receive a vaccination. Regrettably, such letter did not include any specific mention of the ingredients in vaccines including that the AstraZeneca vaccine has as its main ingredient a genetically modified *chimpanzee* cold virus.

Shouldinformation differs from a Freedom of Information request in that a *FOI* request places an obligation on a public authority to go out and obtain fresh information.

In contrast, with shouldinformation the data already exists but the mainstream media or government, for their own reasons, consciously and intentionally choose to conceal the details and keep the public in the dark.

This is pertinent right now with the important issue of the harm caused by the continued use of Covid-19 vaccines.

The UK Government's Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) monitors the safety of Covid-19 vaccines through its **YELLOW CARD** scheme. This is a scheme whereby members of the public and health professionals can report suspected side effects.

In our news article, dated 6th July 2022 we collated official government data from the UK **YELLOW CARD** scheme and from the European Medicines Agency (EMA) which showed that up to 15th June 2022 there had been 1,789,943 reported incidents of adverse reactions/harm linked to Covid-19 vaccines in the UK and EU.



Headquarters of *European Medicines Agency* in Amsterdam, Holland.

The reported serious side effects include heart attacks, blood-clotting, strokes, severe allergic reactions and menstrual disorders such as heavy periods and vaginal bleeding.

Additionally, in our news article dated 2nd August 2022, we collated the statistics on the total number of deaths shortly after Covid-19 vaccination in the UK, EU and the USA which revealed that as at 31st July 2022 there had been a total of 26,593 fatal outcomes (2,213 in UK, 10,992 in EU and 13,388 in USA).

What has happened over the last few months? Has there been an increase in the number of adverse reactions and deaths or have reported side effects stopped?

Here are the latest official statistics:-

ADVERSE REACTIONS

In the **UK** (as at 26.10.22) a total of 470,023 YELLOW CARDS (175,426 Pfizer, 246,638 AstraZeneca, 45,946 Moderna, 37 Novavax and 1,976 brand unspecified).

In the **EU** (as at 26.10.22) a total of 1,595,675 suspected adverse reactions (950,085 Pfizer, 320,860 AstraZeneca, 265,105 Moderna, 58,226 Janssen, 1,398 Novavax and 1 Valneva).

This gives a *Rocky Horror Show* monstrous total number of reports of adverse reactions to Covid vaccines in the UK and the EU combined of **2,065,698.**

This represents a massive increase of 275,755 in the last 5 months (since 15th June 2022).

DEATHS

In the **UK** (as at 26.10.22) a total of 2,330 deaths shortly after Covid-19 vaccination (844 Pfizer, 1,327 AstaZeneca, 104 Moderna and 55 brand unspecified).

In the **EU** (as at 26.10.22) 11,379 deaths shortly after vaccination (8,321 Pfizer, 1,576 AstraZeneca, 1,146 Moderna and 336 Janssen).

In the **USA**, the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (*CDC*) provides Covid-19 vaccine safety data through its Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (*VAERS*). As at 9.11.22 there was a total of 17,392 deaths recorded by *VAERS* shortly after vaccination.



Headquarters of CDC in Atlanta, USA.

Based on the above official government statistics, the combined total number of deaths shortly after Covid-19 vaccination in the UK, EU and the USA as at 9.11.22 is an enormous **31,101**.

This represents a huge increase of 4,508 deaths in the last 3 months.

These totals are only the numbers of adverse reactions formally reported to the authorities, they are likely to be only the tip of the iceberg with many, many cases having gone unreported.

In addition, the total does not include any statistics from Asia, Central or South America, Africa, the Middle East or Australasia.

Does any of this matter?

Of course it does. It is directly relevant to the critical issue of **informed patient consent**. In order to give informed assent it is necessary to be given relevant information so that the patient has sufficient knowledge and understanding. Obviously, data about the side effects of Covid vaccines is pertinent to the central issue of vaccine safety.

It should be noted that the various Covid-19 vaccines only initially received emergency use authorisation and did not complete all of the usual safety trials and procedures. In this regard the **Nuremberg Code** is relevant. This is a set of research ethics covering medical experiments on humans and arose from the Nuremberg trials in 1945 at which members of the Nazi party were tried for horrific war crimes committed during World War Two.

The First Principle of the Nuremberg Code 1947 states as follows:

"The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.

This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment.

The duty and responsibility of ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity”.

In effect, this means that doctors and health authorities have a duty and responsibility with regard to the quality of the information given to persons so that patient agreement can be considered genuine and fair.

Similarly, it could be argued that the existing data in respect of Covid vaccine harm and deaths should be prominently publicised in the media by *ITV*, the *Guardian*, *Manx Radio* and so on. The information is already there on the various Regulators’ websites but only if you know how to look and where it is buried.

What are the chances of reading a headline tomorrow in the *Daily Mail* of **“Two Million adverse reactions to Covid vaccines in the UK and Europe”** or seeing a lead story on *Sky News* **“31,000 deaths shortly after Covid vaccination in the UK, EU and the USA”**? Very, very remote I suspect.

It is plainly in the public interest for this information to be conspicuously and pro-actively circulated so that people can decide for themselves whether they wish to receive any Covid vaccine. A bit like persons considering buying a new car and hearing from the salesman that the particular model has officially been reported as having steering defects which sadly lead to driver deaths and injuries. Most people would be horrified and not go ahead with the purchase. A few might say no problem, jump in and drive off. In other words, it is a matter of personal choice. There is the right to be vaccinated but also the manifest entitlement to be candidly appraised of the relevant and true facts.

When buying a house, the old legal principle of *caveat emptor* used to apply. This means “buyer beware” and the seller was under no obligation to disclose faults or defects with the property. This maxim most definitely does not apply to medical treatment. Just the opposite in fact. There is a positive obligation on the provider to frankly disclose information so that the recipient can give informed consent. It is not for the recipient to have to find out for themselves.

This article has nothing to do with trying to stop anyone being vaccinated. It has everything to do with **transparency** and people being properly provided with relevant and accurate information.

If a person chooses to receive a Covid vaccine or any medical treatment that decision ought to be properly respected. Equally, the sincere decision of an individual not to be vaccinated ought to be properly respected. *My body my choice?*

Why does the media perpetuate shouldinformation and irresponsibly elect not to publish this gravely concerning vaccine harm data?

Is it because:-

- i) They do not want to bite the hand that feeds them (in other words they receive substantial payments direct from government including for public health messaging and Covid vaccination promotion)?
- ii) They receive money from pharmaceutical companies who are either investors in media companies or who pay lucrative advertising revenue?
- iii) Conversely, that some media companies are investors in pharmaceutical companies?
- iv) They have uncritically accepted the government narrative and that therefore publishing data in respect of Covid vaccine harm runs contrary to official messaging?
- v) They feel either too embarrassed or too proud to now admit they were wrong to be so partisan and assertively push the Covid vaccination agenda?

Of note is the recent candid admission by TV presenter *Piers Morgan* that he was wrong to vilify and demonise the unvaccinated as a threat to other people and to say that unvaccinated NHS staff should not have been able to work in hospitals. Mr Morgan recently admitted that he was mistaken to espouse such views and publicly apologised for stating that only the unvaccinated could spread Covid.

This was obviously erroneous given that as far back as October 2021 research carried out by the *Lancet Infectious Diseases Journal* (and reported at the time by the *BBC*) showed that double vaccinated persons in UK households could spread the Delta variant of Covid as readily as the unvaccinated. In other words, that fully vaccinated persons remained effective spreaders and that for instance a hospital full of vaccinated doctors and nurses would all be capable of spreading the virus amongst themselves and to others within a hospital.

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/d302IuQkUbne/>

There were many other examples of the spread of Covid amongst vaccinated groups such as the cruise liner ***Norwegian Breakaway***, which disembarked in New Orleans, USA on 5th December 2021 amid an on-board outbreak of Covid, despite all crew and passengers being fully vaccinated.

Consider also the apparently incomprehensible outbreak of Covid in December 2021 among scientists at an extremely remote South Pole research centre (the **Princess Elizabeth Polar Station**), despite all persons being double vaccinated and having tested negative prior to flying to distant Antarctica.



“They’re blaming me!”

However belated, the apology from Mr Morgan is nevertheless very welcome.

Conclusion

There is a clear moral obligation on governments and the media to properly publicise the statistics about the adverse reactions to Covid vaccines. That they purposefully calculate not to, is a deeply prejudiced and sinister example of shouldinformation.

Given the colossal scale of persons affected (over **2 Million** adverse reactions in the UK and EU and **31,101** deaths in the UK, EU and USA to date), is this an international pharmaceutical scandal, a media conspiracy or a disgraceful Government cover-up (or all three)?

Significantly, however, despite assertive advertising and increasingly desperate promotion of the Covid Autumn booster programme (including the inappropriate and persistent placement of vaccine messages on the IOM Mountain Road matrix traffic signs) it is interesting and noticeable that the take up so far has been relatively low and considerably reduced from the Spring boosters.

For example, only 40% of persons eligible for an Autumn Covid booster on the Isle of Man have so far received the jab.

Perhaps a case of, *"there is nothing concealed that will not be disclosed, or hidden that will not be made known"*.



**Launcelot in Shakespeare's The Merchant of Venice,
"at length the truth will out".**